

Amendment to HB 440

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT prohibiting the suspension of civil liberties during a state of emergency.

4

5 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

6

7 1 Title. This act may be known and cited as the “Civil Liberties Defense Act.”

8

2 Legislative Findings.

9

I. RSA 4:45 and 4:47, together known as the emergency powers statutes, allow the governor of New Hampshire to declare a state of emergency and thereby activate emergency powers. The emergency powers statutes were passed by the general court in order to give the governor flexible, enumerated powers in a time of public disaster.

10

11

12

13

II. On Friday, March 13, 2020, the governor issued Executive Order 2020-04, declaring a state of emergency due to the novel coronavirus, or Covid-19. This order formed the basis for several emergency orders.

14

15

16

III. On Tuesday, March 17, 2020, *Binford, et. al. v. Sununu* became the first legal challenge to these emergency orders. The lawsuit claimed that aspects of the orders violated the plaintiffs’ civil liberties.

17

18

19

IV. In response to this lawsuit, the state, rather than arguing simply that the orders in question were constitutional, disturbingly asked the New Hampshire superior court to hold that civil liberties are suspended due to the state of emergency. See *Binford, et. al. v. Sununu, Merrimack Cty. Super. Ct., 217-2020-CV-00152* at 10 (March 25, 2020) (Kissinger, J.) (“...the State contends [that] during a state of emergency, executives are granted broad latitude to suspend civil liberties”).

20

21

22

23

24

V. The superior court agreed with the state and concluded that civil liberties in New Hampshire are suspended during the Covid-19 emergency. *Id.* at 11 (affirming that “[i]n an emergency situation, fundamental rights such as the right of travel and free speech may be... suspended”) (quoting *Smith v. Avino, 91 F. 3d 105, 109 (11th Cir. 1996)*; *id.* at 16 (“the Court finds that the Governor may suspend... constitutional rights during a state of emergency...”). Although the superior court then proceeded to find that the governor’s orders were constitutional, it noted that it was doing so only “for the purpose of establishing a complete record.” *Id.*

25

26

27

28

29

30

Amendment to HB 440
- Page 2 -

1 VI. In subsequent emergency orders, the governor has cited the superior court’s opinion
2 letter in Binford as a legal authority. See e.g. Emergency Order No. 74 at 1 (Nov. 19, 2020) (citing
3 Binford, et. al. v. Sununu, Merrimack Cty. Super. Ct., 217-2020-CV-00152).

4 VII. In enacting RSA 4:45 and 4:47, the general court intended to give the governor flexible
5 enumerated powers during a time of disaster. It did not intend to allow the governor to suspend or
6 otherwise infringe upon the New Hampshire bill of rights or the United States Constitution.

7 VIII. The general court makes no comment on the specific outcome of the Binford decision,
8 recognizing that case-by-case applications of legal rights are within the province of the judicial
9 branch. Yet the superior court exceeded its authority to the extent that it purported to authorize the
10 governor to suspend or infringe upon the New Hampshire bill of rights or the United States
11 Constitution.

12 IX. Civil liberties are a codification of the inherent and binding duties of governments to
13 their citizens. The rule of law in a free society must therefore be permanent and inviolable. The
14 New Hampshire bill of rights and the United States Constitution may never be suspended, set aside,
15 or otherwise nullified by the governor, the judiciary, this general court, or any other official
16 authority. “Government is not free to disregard the First Amendment in times of crisis.” Roman
17 Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo, 592 U. S. ____ (2020) (Gorsuch, J., concurring in the
18 judgment).

19 X. Since the Binford decision was issued, the United States Supreme Court has correctly
20 reaffirmed that “even in a pandemic, the Constitution cannot be put away and forgotten.” Roman
21 Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo, 592 U. S. ____ (2020).

22 XI. The United States Supreme Court has never held that constitutional rights may be
23 suspended due to a pandemic. Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo, 592 U. S. ____ (2020)
24 (Gorsuch, J., concurring in the judgment) (“Jacobson didn’t seek to depart from normal legal rules
25 during a pandemic, and it supplies no precedent for doing so... Instead, Jacobson applied what would
26 become the traditional legal test associated with the right at issue—exactly what the Court does
27 today.”) (citing Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U. S. 11 (1905)); Id. (“Nor does any [dissenting
28 Justice in the Cuomo decision] seek to explain why anything other than our usual constitutional
29 standards should apply during the current pandemic.”).

30 XII. The general court does not seek to obstruct lawful efforts by the governor or the
31 governor’s officials to manage public disasters. Yet New Hampshire courts may not depart from the
32 federal or state constitutions during a crisis. Given the alarming nature of the state’s argument in
33 Binford, and the superior court’s resulting findings, the general court concludes that it would be
34 negligent to wait for action by the New Hampshire supreme court before enacting this legislation.

35 3 Purpose. The purposes of this act are:

Amendment to HB 440

- Page 3 -

1 I. To clarify that the general court never intended that emergency powers granted to the
2 governor would be used to suspend civil liberties, and to amend the emergency powers statutes to
3 expressly prohibit the suspension of civil liberties.

4 II. To reject as ultra vires and to preclude any judicial decision purporting to suspend civil
5 liberties in New Hampshire, whether reached under the emergency powers statutes or any other law
6 or legal principle.

7 III. To ensure that “even in a pandemic, the Constitution cannot be put away and forgotten.”
8 Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo, 592 U. S. ____ (2020). Legal challenges brought
9 under the state or federal constitution must accordingly be subject to meaningful constitutional
10 analysis.

11 4 Definitions. In this act:

12 I. “Civil liberties” encompasses any guarantee against, protection against, or remedy for an
13 imposition, intrusion, fine, punishment, or penalty by a governmental entity or agent enumerated in
14 the New Hampshire bill of rights, in the United States Constitution, or in any binding legal decision
15 construing any part of the same.

16 II. “Suspension” refers to a time period or condition in which courts of law do not or are not
17 required to subject impositions, intrusions, fines, punishments, or penalties by governmental entities
18 or agents to constitutional analysis, instead subjecting such challenges to a “good faith” requirement
19 or other extraordinary standard.

20 III. “New Hampshire bill of rights” means part I of the New Hampshire constitution and any
21 legal precedent applying any article therein so as to invalidate, prohibit, punish, or remedy an
22 imposition, intrusion, fine, punishment, or penalty by a governmental entity or agent.

23 5 Civil Liberties Protected. No power delegated or otherwise granted by any statute or act of
24 this general court to the governor or any of the governor's subordinates, whether before or after the
25 date of this act, shall be construed to suspend or permit the suspension of civil liberties.

26 6 New Paragraph; State of Emergency; Powers of the Governor. Amend RSA 4:45 by inserting
27 after paragraph III the following new paragraph:

28 IV. Notwithstanding the foregoing enumerated powers, civil liberties shall on no account be
29 suspended. Nor shall the United States Constitution or the New Hampshire bill of rights be
30 suspended, set aside, or otherwise infringed.

31 7 Emergency Management Powers. Amend RSA 4:47, III to read as follows:

32 III. The power to make, amend, suspend and rescind necessary orders, rules and regulations
33 to carry out the provisions of this subdivision in the event of a disaster beyond local control;
34 ***provided that civil liberties shall on no account be suspended, nor shall the United States***
35 ***Constitution or the New Hampshire bill of rights be suspended, set aside, or otherwise***
36 ***infringed.***

37 8 Rules of Construction.

Amendment to HB 440

- Page 4 -

1 I. This act and the amendments affected thereby shall be construed in favor of substantive
2 protections for civil liberties.

3 II. The protections afforded by this act are in addition to any further protections provided
4 under federal law, state law, and the state and federal constitutions.

5 III. This act shall not be construed to impede the application of any duly enacted
6 amendment to the New Hampshire constitution.

7 IV. This act shall not be construed to imply that civil liberties are conferred upon the
8 citizens of New Hampshire by the general court or that the general court has or claims for itself the
9 power to suspend civil liberties.

10 V. Nothing in this act shall be construed to preempt or repeal any state or local law that is
11 equally or more protective of civil liberties. Nothing in this act shall be construed to narrow the
12 meaning or application of any state or local law protecting civil liberties.

13 VI. This act applies to, and in cases of conflict supersedes, each statute of the state that
14 impinges upon civil liberties unless a conflicting statute is expressly made exempt from the
15 application of this act. This act also applies to, and in cases of conflict supersedes, any ordinance,
16 rule, regulation, order, opinion, decision, practice, or other exercise of the government's authority
17 that impinges upon civil liberties.

18 VII. If any provision of this act or any application of such provision to any particular person
19 or circumstance is held to be invalid under law, the remainder of this act and the application of its
20 provisions to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected.

21 9 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

2021-0486h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill prohibits the suspension of civil liberties during a state of emergency.

UNAPPROVED